

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/378,502 08/20/99 MCFEELY F Y0999-198 **EXAMINER** IM52/0827 CASEY AUGUST CHEN_R INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP P 0 BOX 218 1762 YORKTOWN HEIGHTS NY 10598 DATE MAILED: 08/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/378,502

Applicant(s)

Fenton Read McFeely et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Bret Chen Art Unit

1762

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun	
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely. 	
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
	by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) \square Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 19, 2</u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	ction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	•
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ai	re objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.	niner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	eve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ive been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	
The state of the s	5 priority diluti 50 0.0.5. 3 110(6).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
THE INTERIOR DISCISSION STREETHERITIES (PTO-1443) Paper NO(s).	20) Uther:

Application/Control Number: 09/378502

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application, which is a CPA of 09/378502. The amendment dated 6/11/01, previously unentered, has been entered and considered.

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 7/19/01 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/378502 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). On p.1 lines 21-25, the applicant refers to Figure 1 as prior art but on p.9 refers to Figure 1 as the present invention. Clarification and any appropriate amendments are requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/378502

Art Unit:

In claim 8, the applicant requires the solid precursor to be tungsten hexacarbonyl. This is confusing as the claim is dependent on claim 6 which requires the solid precursor to be one of four materials. Clarification and appropriate amendments are requested. The same issue applies to claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (5,603,169) or Partus (6,161,398). Kim discloses a bubbler for solid metal-organic precursors which improve the feeding efficiency and the controlling precision of the precursors (col.1 lines 7-

- 11). The carrier gas enters a bottom hole and exits through an upper exhaust hole (col.2 lines 32-
- 43). Partus discloses a method for controlling delivery of a vapor from a bubbler containing a supply of liquid through which a carrier gas is bubbled (col.2 line 64 col.3 line 8). However, the references fail to teach forming bubbles which agitate and mix.

It is noted that the references clearly teach of forming bubbles. One skilled in the art would reasonably expect that forming bubbles would inherently disrupt the liquid and result in some sort of mixing. Given such a teaching, the skilled artisan would realize that forming more

Art Unit:

bubbles or bigger bubbles (more force) would cause more mixing. it would have been obvious to utilize bubbles to agitate the solution.

The limitations of claims 2-16 have been addressed above.

Hinkle et al. (5,966,499) and Hayakawa et al. (5,580,822) have been provided for additional information. Neumayer et al. (5,675,028) is deemed relevant art and not provided.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-5408. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ho

August 26, 2001